

Track your Manuscript

Enter Correct Manuscript Reference Number:

[Get Details](#)

Browse Subjects

- [Agriculture](#)
- [Business Management](#)
- [Education and Literature](#)
- [Medical Sciences](#)
- [Nursing](#)

Top Editors



Dr. Shek Saleem Babu
English Language and Literature, English Language Teaching, and Poetry, IIIT, RGUKT, Nuzvid, Krishna Dt. AP, India

[Go Back](#) Himalayan Journal of Economics and Business Management | Volume 2 Issue 5 | Sept. 20, 2021

149 Downloads 367 Views

DOI : 10.47310/Hjebm.2021.v02i05.005 [Download PDF](#) [HTML](#) [XML](#)


The Influence of Ownership Structure, Debt Policy And Company Growth toward Company Value through Financial Performance (Empirical Study on Manufacturing Company Listed on Indonesia Stock Exchange)

Bambang Soemarsono¹, Budiyanto² and Nur Fadrih Asyik³
¹Management Science Doctoral Program Student, Sekolah Tinggi Ilmu Ekonomi Indonesia, Indonesia
²Sekolah Tinggi Ilmu Ekonomi Indonesia, Indonesia
³Sekolah Tinggi Ilmu Ekonomi Indonesia, Indonesia
***Corresponding Author**
[Bambang Soemarsono](#)

Article History
Received: 31.08.2021
Accepted: 10.09.2021
Published: 20.09.2021

Abstract: This study was conducted on manufacturing companies listed on Indonesia Stock Exchange in 2013-2019. This study aimed to determine the effect of capital structure and company growth toward the company value with profitability as an intervening variable. The population in this study are 169 manufacturing companies. Samples gathered from 24 companies determined by purposive sampling method with predetermined criteria. The analysis technique used was path analysis with regression and the classical assumption test was carried out first. Testing the intervening variables used as the clausal step strategy and the Sobel test. The results of this study indicate that 1) Institutional Ownership (KI) has a significant effect on Company Value (NP) 2) Managerial Ownership (KM) has a significant effect on Company Value (NP) 3) Debt Policy (KH) has a significant effect on Company Value (NP) 4) Company Growth (PP) has a significant effect on Company Value (NP) 5) Institutional Ownership (KI) has a significant effect on Company Value (NP) through Financial Performance (KK) 6) Managerial Ownership (KM) has a significant effect on Company Value (NP) through Financial Performance (KK) 7) Debt Policy (KH) has no effect on Company Value (NP) through Financial Performance (KK) 8) Company Growth (PP) has a significant effect on Company Value (NP) through Financial Performance (KK)

Activate Windows
Go to Settings to activate Windows



Top Reviewers



Dr. Biman Kumar Panigrahi
Associate professor, Seemanta Instt. of
Pharma. Scs., Jharpokharia, Odisha, 757086,
India

Important Links

- [Paper Submission](#)
- [Open Access Statement](#)
- [Information for Authors](#)
- [Peer-Review Resources](#)
- [Open Special Issues](#)
- [Frequently Asked Questions](#)

Why Us

- ✓ Open Access
- ✓ Peer-reviewed
- ✓ Rapid publication
- ✓ Lifetime hosting
- ✓ Free indexing service
- ✓ Free promotion service
- ✓ More citations
- ✓ Search engine friendly

Keywords: Institutional Ownership, Managerial Ownership, Debt Policy, Company Growth, Financial Performance, Company Value.

INTRODUCTION

In the practice of modern financial management, the leader or manager of a company is required to be principal in achieving company goals. The company's goal has a relationship between a manager in managing his company in order to achieve an increase in company performance, in this case is financial performance. Financial performance is related to company value. Company value is considered important because in this case the higher the value of the company it will affect the prosperity of shareholders (Brigham dan Houston, 2014). According to Sartono (2014), he stated that the value of the company can be determined based on the value of its own capital and the value of debt. This can show a positive signal for the company, if the company is managed properly, it is able to continue to grow and compete. In this stage, the owner delegates authority to the manager or agent to take action in pursuing the company's goals. The value of the company during the observation period decreased so that the company became unable to increase the value of the company which was the purpose of establishing a company.

In the research done by Sofyaningsih and Hardiningsih (2011), stated that a company that has high institutional ownership can indicate its ability to control the management of a company, so that in its operations, the company runs efficiently and can make the best use of company assets. Institutional ownership will encourage maximum supervision. The same results also obtained in research done by Nuraina (2012), Borolla (2011), stated that institutional ownership has an influence toward company value. But, different from Putri and Chabachib (2013), Suryani (2016), Warapsari and Suaryana (2016), stated that institutional ownership has no influence to company. Whereas Ruan and Tian (2011), stated that managerial ownership has a positive influence toward company value which means that the higher the manageria ownership of the company, the higher the company value. Different findings was done in Suastini *et al.*, (2016), Sukirni (2012), and Jusriand and Rahardjo (2013)'s research, they stated that managerial ownership has no influence on company value.

Research about debt policy conducted by Sukirni (2012), Yuniati *et al.*, (2016), Hamidy *et al.*, (2015), Bukit (2012) explained that debt policy has an influence on company value. Different findings was done by Putri and Chabachib (2013), Mangantar and Sumanti (2015), Mardiyati (2012), Sofyaningsih and Hardiningsih (2011), stated that there is no effect of deby policy on company value.

In research conducted by Dewi *et al.*, (2014), Dhani and Utama (2017), Suastini *et al.*, (2016), Sofyaningsih and Hardiningsih (2011) stated that company growth has an influence on company value. Different findings put forward by Pakpahan (2010), Andrian (2012), Yuanita *et al.*, (2016), they stated that the company growth has no influence on company value.

Research conducted by Rasyid *et al.*, (2015), Mahpudin and Suparno (2016) and (Ardianto *et al.*, 2017), stated that profitability influenced the company value. But different findings was stated by Herawati (2014), Rusiah *et al.*, (2017), Thaib Dewantoro (2017). They said that profitability did not influence the company value. Based on problems faced by company about the decreasing of manufacturing company value which was recorded at BEI since 2013-2019, and the controversion in previous studies, this research aimed to test the effect of ownership structure, debt policy, company growth, financial performance and company value in manufacturing company.

LITERATURE REVIEW AND HYPOTHESIS

The Influence of Institutional Ownership on Company Value

Institutional ownership has a positive effect on company value. The greater the institutional ownership, the more efficient the utilization of company assets which is expected to act as a prevention against waste and profit manipulation by management so that it will increase the value of the company (Sukirni, 2012).

Nuraina (2012), and Borolla (2011) stated that the institutional ownership has a significant positive influence toward the company value. But, Sofyaningsih and Hardiningsih (2011) and Damayanti and Suartana (2014) stated that companies that have high institutional ownership indicate their ability to control the management.


H₁: There is a significant influence of institutional ownership on the manufacturing company's value listed on BEI.

Influence of Managerial Ownership on Company Value

Managerial ownership has a positive and significant influence on company value. This showed that the increasing of managerial ownership will increase the company value (Nurwahidah *et al.*, 2019).

Managerial ownership has a positive and significant influence on company value. This showed that the increasing of managerial ownership will increase the company value (Dewi dan Abundanti 2019).

H₀: There is a significant influence of ownership on manufacturing company's value listed on BEI.



🟢 Search engine friendly

H₂: There is a significant influence of ownership on manufacturing company's value listed on BEI.

Influence of Debt Policy on Company Value

Debt policy is a company's funding policy produced by external parties. Some companies consider that the use of debt is better than issuing new shares. It means that the debt policy that is carried out, the higher the company value obtained. The company value will be maximized if the company uses the debt which is called the corner optimum debt decision.

Brigham dan Houston (2014: 157) think that the use of debt (*leverage*) will increase company value, due to the debt interest cost which reduce the tax expenses. Yuniati *et al.*, (2016), Hamidy *et al.*, (2015), Bukit (2012) stated that the debt policy has a positive and significant influence on company value.

H₃: There is a significant influence of debt policy on manufacturing company's value listed on BEI.

Influence of Company Growth on Company Value

The company growth is a goal of every internal and external party of the company, because with the growth of the company, it can give a sign for the development of the company. The company growth can be measured using changes in total sales and changes in total assets. The greater the expected assets, the greater the operational results generated by the company, meaning that the higher the asset growth rate, the higher the company value.

Rasyid *et al.*, (2015), Hestinoviana *et al.*, (2013), Nasihah and Widyarti (2012) claimed that the company growth has a positive and significant influence on company value.

H₄: There is a significant influence of company growth on manufacturing company's value listed on BEI.

Influence of Institutional Ownership on Company Value

The ownership structure, especially institutional ownership, is the most important part for every company in conducting business with the aim of maximizing the value of the company's ownership shares, or maximizing the share price. With a high institutional ownership, company will be able to increase the value of the company through a company's financial performance such as the profitability achieved by the company, so that it can increase the company's stock price which results in the company's value increasing as well.

Putra (2013), Wulandari *et al.*, (2017), Nurkhin *et al.*, (2017) claimed that the institutional ownership indirectly influenced the company value (PBV) through financial performance (ROA).

H₅: There is a significant influence of institutional ownership on manufacturing company's value listed on BEI.

Influence of Managerial Ownership on Company Value through Financial Performance

Managerial ownership in company is a crucial part for every company to conduct business ventures with the aim of maximizing financial performances. A good financial performances will determine the company value.

Putra (2013), Ramadhani (2017), Wahyudi and Pawestri (2006), stated that the managerial ownership indirectly influenced the company value (PBV) through financial performance (ROA).


H₆: There is a significant influence of institutional ownership through financial performance on manufacturing company's value listed on BEI.

Influence of Debt Policy on Company Value through Financial Performance

Trade-off theory on capital structure explained that, company with small risk tend to use bigger debt for operational cost and investment, one of its criteria is company with high profit. In another name, it is called profitable, which means that the company has a high optimism to choose the bigger debt alternative. This is because the company has financial strength and considered that debt should be used as another option to keep increasing company's profitability.

Mardiyati (2012), Andrian (2012), Hamidy *et al.*, (2015) stated about the influence of debt policy on company value through financial performance. The higher the company's debt policy as operational, the higher the company's stock price due to increased profits.

H₇: There is a significant influence of debt policy through financial performance on manufacturing company's value listed on BEI.



32. Puspaningrum, Y. (2017). Pengaruh Corporate Social Responsibility Dan Kepemilikan Manajerial Terhadap Nilai Perusahaan Dengan Profitabilitas Dan Ukuran Perusahaan Sebagai Variabel Moderating. *Jurnal Profita*, 2(1), 1–14.

33. Putri, G. A. P., & Chabachib, M. (2013). Analisis Pengaruh Kepemilikan Institusional, Free Cash Flow, Invesment Opportunity Set Terhadap Nilai Perusahaan Dengan Kebijakan Hutang Sebagai Variabel Intervening. *Dipenogoro Journal Of Management*, 2(2), 202–216. <https://ejournal3.undip.ac.id/index.php/djom/article/view/9027/8773>

34. Rasyid, A., Mahfudnurnajamuddin, Mas'ud, M., & Su'un, M. (2015). Effect of Ownership Structure, Company Size and Profitability on Dividend Policy and Manufacturing Company's Value in Indonesia Stock Exchange. *Australian Journal of Basic and Applied Sciences*, 9(20), 618–624.

35. Rizqia, D. A., Aisjah, S., Program, P., & Java, E. (2013). Effect of Managerial Ownership , Financial Leverage , Profitability , Firm Size , and Investment Opportunity on Dividend Policy and Firm Value. *Journal of Finance and Accounting*, 4(11), 120–130.

36. Ruan, W., & Tian, G. (2011). Managerial Ownership and Firm Value : Evidence from China ' s Civilian-run Firms Managerial Ownership and Firm Value : Evidence from China ' s Civilian-run Firms. *University of Wollongong, NSW, 2522*, 5(3), 73–92.

37. Rusiah, N., Mardani, R. M., & Abs, M. K. (2017). Pengaruh Struktur Modal, Pertumbuhan Perusahaan, Ukuran Perusahaan, dan Profitabilitas Terhadap Nilai Perusahaan Pada Perusahaan yang terdaftar di Bursa Efek Indonesia. *E-Journal Riset Manajemen Prodi Manajemen Unisma*, 6(6), 108–203.

38. Sartono, A. (2014). Manajemen Keuangan Teori dan Aplikasi. Edisi 4. Yogyakarta: BPF. In *Revolusi Industri 4.0: Desain Perkembangan Transaksi dan Sistem Akuntansi Keuangan*.

39. Sofyaningsih, S., & Hardiningsih, P. (2011). Struktur Kepemilikan, Kebijakan Dividen, Kebijakan Hutang dan Nilai Perusahaan. *Dinamika Keuangan Dan Perbankan*, 3(1), 68–87.

40. Suastini, N. M., Purbawangsa, I. B. A., & Rahyuda, H. (2016). Pengaruh Kepemilikan Manajerial dan Pertumbuhan Perusahaan terhadap Nilai Perusahaan Pada Perusahaan Manufaktur Di Bursa Efek Indonesia. *E-Jurnal Ekonomi Dan Bisnis Universitas Udayana*, 5(1), 143–172.

41. Sudiyatno, B., & Puspitasari, E. (2010). Tobin's Q dan Altman Z-Score sebagai Indikator Pengukuran Kinerja Perusahaan. *Kajian Akuntansi, ISSN: 1979-4886*, 8(2), 9–21.

42. Sugiyono. (2017). Metode Penelitian Kuantitatif, Kualitatif dan R&D. Bandung: PT Alfabet. In Sugiyono. (2017). *Metode Penelitian Kuantitatif, Kualitatif dan R&D. Bandung: PT Alfabet*.

43. Sukirni, D. (2012). Kepemilikan Manajerial, Kepemilikan Institusional, Kebijakan Dividen Dan Kebijakan Hutang. *Accounting Analysis Journal*, 1(2), 1–12. <https://journal.unnes.ac.id/sju/index.php/aaaj/article/view/703>

44. Suryani, K. R. . (2016). Pengaruh Struktur Kepemilikan Terhadap Nilai Perusahaan Dengan Keputusan Keuangan Sebagai Variabel Intervening Pada Perusahaan Dalam Industri Manufaktur Yang Terdaftar Di Bursa Efek Indonesia Tahun 2009. *Jurnal Wawasan Manajemen*, 4(1), 75–90.

45. Sutrisno, W. A., & Yulianeu. (2017). Pengaruh CR, DER DAN TATO Terhadap PBV Dengan ROA Sebagai Variabel Intervening (Studi Pada Perusahaan Property & Real Estate Yang Terdaftar Pada BEI Tahun 2010-2014). *Journal of Management*, 3(3), 1–8.

46. Tarjo. (2008). Pengaruh Konsentrasi Kepemilikan Institusional dan Leverage terhadap Manajemen Laba, Nilai Pemegang Saham serta Cost of Equity Capital. *Symposium Nasional Akuntansi XI Pontianak*, 1–45.

47. Thaib, I., & Dewantoro, A. (2017). Pengaruh Profitabilitas dan Likuiditas terhadap Nilai Perusahaan dengan Struktur Modal sebagai Variabel Intervening (Studi pada Perusahaan Transportasi Laut Di Bursa Efek Indonesia). *Jurnal Riset Perbankan Manajemen Dan Akuntansi*, 1(1), 25–44.

48. Utomo, H. P. (2016). Pengaruh Partisipasi Anggaran Terhadap Kinerja Manajerial Dengan Gaya Kepemimpinan dan Locus Of Control Sebagai Variabel Moderasi. *E-Jurnal Akuntansi*, 2(2), 1–20.

49. Wahyudi, U., & Pawestri, H. P. (2006). Implikasi Struktur Kepemilikan Terhadap Nilai Perusahaan: Dengan Keputusan Keuangan Sebagai Variabel Intervening. *Symposium Nasional Akuntansi 9 Padang*. <https://doi.org/10.1017/CBO9781107415324.004>

50. Warapsari, A. A. A. U., & Suaryana, I. G. N. A. (2016). Pengaruh Kepemilikan Manajerial Dan Institusional Terhadap Nilai Perusahaan Dengan Kebijakan Utang Sebagai Variabel Intervening. *E-Jurnal Akuntansi Universitas Udayana*, 16(3), 2288–2315.

51. Wardani, D. K., & Hermuningsih, S. (2011). Pengaruh Struktur Kepemilikan terhadap Nilai Perusahaan dengan Kinerja Keuangan dan Kebijakan Hutang sebagai Variabel Intervening. *Jurnal Siasat Bisnis*, 15(1), 27–36. <https://doi.org/10.20885/jsb.vol15.iss1.art3>

52. Wulandari, N., Mardani, R. M., & Wahono, B. (2017). Pengaruh Struktur Kepemilikan dan Keputusan Keuangan Terhadap Nilai Perusahaan: Profitabilitas Sebagai Variabel Moderating. *E-Jurnal Riset Manajemen*, 37–51.

53. Yuanita, Missy, Budiyanto, & Riyadi, S. (2016). Influence of Capital Structure, Size and Growth on Profitability and Corporate Value. *International Journal of Business and Finance Management Research*.

54. Yuniati, M., Raharjo, K., & Oemar, A. (2016). Pengaruh Kebijakan Deviden, Kebijakan Hutang, Profitabilitas, dan Struktur Kepemilikan terhadap Nilai Perusahaan. *Journal of Accounting*, 2(2), 1–15.

[Join Us](#) | [Contact Us](#)

Himalayan Journal of Economics and Business Management

Volume 2-Issue 5

Pursuit of Sustainable Competitive Advantages by Small and Medium Enterprises Through The Use Of Information Technologies in Fct Abuja

Emmanuel Makoji Egwu, Monday David Aribido & Ocheni Omachi Simon
Himalayan Journal of Economics and Business Management | Volume 2 | Issue 5 | Sept. 10, 2021
DOI: 10.47310/Hjebm.2021.v02i05.001
114 Downloads 327 Views

An Analysis of Agricultural Native Sciences Among the Informal Farmers in Nyanga District, Zimbabwe

Ngoni Munywarara
Himalayan Journal of Economics and Business Management | Volume 2 | Issue 5 | Sept. 20, 2021
DOI: 10.47310/Hjebm.2021.v02i05.003
116 Downloads 404 Views

Employee Labour Dynamics for Long-Term Sustainable Growth of Agricultural Smes (Zimbabwe)

Ngoni Munywarara
Himalayan Journal of Economics and Business Management | Volume 2 | Issue 5 | Sept. 20, 2021
DOI: 10.47310/Hjebm.2021.v02i05.004
110 Downloads 396 Views

Bankruptcy among Graduates

Johnson Mathelamuthu & Suppiah Retenam
Himalayan Journal of Economics and Business Management | Volume 2 | Issue 5 | Sept. 30, 2021
DOI: 10.47310/Hjebm.2021.v02i05.006
116 Downloads 339 Views

The Macroeconomic Policy: An Analysis at Five Emerging Markets Asia

adi, Bakhtiar Efendi & Adinda Regita Cahyani

Track your Manuscript

Enter Correct Manuscript Reference Number:

[Get Details](#)

Browse Subjects

No data found

Top Editors

Activate Windows
Go to Settings to activate Windows.

The Macroeconomic Policy: An Analysis at Five Emerging Markets Asia

Dewi Mahrani Rangkuty, Rusiadi, Bakhtiar Efendi & Adinda Regita Cahyani
Himalayan Journal of Economics and Business Management | Volume 2 | Issue 5 | Sept. 30, 2021
DOI: 10.47310/Hjebm.2021.v02i05.007
154 Downloads 315 Views

The Effect of Total Quality Management and Supply Chain on Shopee Customer Satisfaction in Bekasi City with Competitive Advantage as a Variable Mediating

Ajeng Putri Listianingsih, Suharto & Ismail
Himalayan Journal of Economics and Business Management | Volume 2 | Issue 5 | Oct. 10, 2021
DOI: 10.47310/Hjebm.2021.v02i05.009
116 Downloads 532 Views

Risk Management in the Supply Chain during COVID-19 Pandemic in Vietnam Rice Import-Export Enterprises

Y Vuong Thi Bich Nga
Himalayan Journal of Economics and Business Management | Volume 2 | Issue 5 | Oct. 31, 2021
DOI: 10.47310/Hjebm.2021.v02i05.012
157 Downloads 852 Views

Forecasting GDP and Green GDP of South Asian Country for Sustainable Development


Sirajul Islam & Md. Asad
Himalayan Journal of Economics and Business Management | Volume 2 | Issue 5 | Oct. 10, 2021
DOI: 10.47310/Hjebm.2021.v02i05.008
110 Downloads 424 Views

Attitude toward Accounting: A Study among Secondary School Students in Malaysia

Yusniyati Yusri, Ooi Chun Yao, Ridzwana Mohd Said & Nor Irdawati Mahyuddin
Himalayan Journal of Economics and Business Management | Volume 2 | Issue 5 | Oct. 20, 2021
DOI: 10.47310/Hjebm.2021.v02i05.011
121 Downloads 507 Views

Is There Evidence for Relationship between Self-Esteem, Belongingness and Stress on Self-Directed Learning in the FMCG Sector? (With Special Reference to Company ABC)

M. A. Yasaransi Pabasara Masinghe
Himalayan Journal of Economics and Business Management | Volume 2 | Issue 5 | Oct. 20, 2021
DOI: 10.47310/Hjebm.2021.v02i05.010
121 Downloads 283 Views



Is There Evidence for Relationship between Self-Esteem, Belongingness and Stress on Self-Directed Learning in the FMCG Sector? (With Special Reference to Company ABC)

M. A. Yasaransi Pabasara Masinghe
Himalayan Journal of Economics and Business Management | Volume 2 | Issue 5 | Oct. 20, 2021
DOI: 10.47310/Hjebm.2021.v02i05.010
121 Downloads 283 Views

Credit Management and Deposit Money Banks Performance in Nigeria- A Case Study


Okafor Chukwuma Onwuagana, Okafor T.C & Isibor A. A
Himalayan Journal of Economics and Business Management | Volume 2 | Issue 5 | Sept. 20, 2021
DOI: 10.47310/Hjebm.2021.v02i05.002
233 Downloads 780 Views

The Influence of Ownership Structure, Debt Policy And Company Growth toward Company Valuetthrough Financial Performance (Empirical Study on Manufacturing Company Listed on Indonesia Stock Exchange)

Bambang Soemarsono, Budiyanto & Nur Fadrih Asyik
Himalayan Journal of Economics and Business Management | Volume 2 | Issue 5 | Sept. 20, 2021
DOI: 10.47310/Hjebm.2021.v02i05.005
149 Downloads 369 Views

The Effect of Work Discipline and Organizational Culture on the Performance of District Employees in Jember Regency with Job Satisfaction as Intervening Variable

Citra Sri Agustin, Dr. Diana Sulianti K Tobing & Dr. Siti Komariyah
Himalayan Journal of Economics and Business Management | Volume 2 | Issue 5 | Oct. 31, 2021
DOI: 10.47310/Hjebm.2021.v02i05.013
149 Downloads 507 Views



Activate Windows
Go to Settings to activate Windows



Important Links

- [Paper Submission](#)
- [Open Access Statement](#)
- [Information for Authors](#)
- [Peer-Review Resources](#)
- [Open Special Issues](#)
- [Frequently Asked Questions](#)

Why Us

- ✓ Open Access
- ✓ Peer-reviewed
- ✓ Rapid publication
- ✓ Lifetime hosting
- ✓ Free indexing service
- ✓ Free promotion service
- ✓ More citations
- ✓ Search engine friendly

Track your Manuscript

Enter Correct Manuscript Reference Number:

[Get Details](#)

Browse Subjects

- [Agriculture](#)
- [Business Management](#)
- [Education and Literature](#)
- [Medical Sciences](#)
- [Nursing](#)

Top Editors



Dinh Tran Ngoc Huy
Bank for Investment and Development of
VietNam (BIDV)

[Go Back](#) Himalayan Journal of Economics and Business Management | Volume 2 Issue 5 | Sept. 20, 2021

149 Downloads 371 Views

DOI : 10.47310/Hjebm.2021.v02i05.005 [Download PDF](#) [HTML](#) [XML](#)

The Influence of Ownership Structure, Debt Policy And Company Growth toward Company Value through Financial Performance (Empirical Study on Manufacturing Company Listed on Indonesia Stock Exchange)

Bambang Soemarsono¹, Budiyanto² and Nur Fadrih Asyik³

¹Management Science Doctoral Program Student, Sekolah Tinggi Ilmu Ekonomi Indonesia, Indonesia

²Sekolah Tinggi Ilmu Ekonomi Indonesia, Indonesia

³Sekolah Tinggi Ilmu Ekonomi Indonesia, Indonesia

*Corresponding Author

[Bambang Soemarsono](#)

Article History

Received: 31.08.2021


Accepted: 10.09.2021

Published: 20.09.2021

Abstract: This study was conducted on manufacturing companies listed on Indonesia Stock Exchange in 2013-2019. This study aimed to determine the effect of capital structure and company growth toward the company value with profitability as an intervening variable. The population in this study are 169 manufacturing companies. Samples gathered from 24 companies determined by purposive sampling method with predetermined criteria. The analysis technique used was path analysis with regression and the classical assumption test was carried out first. Testing the intervening variables used as the clausal step strategy and the Sobel test. The results of this study indicate that 1) Institutional Ownership (KI) has a significant effect on Company Value (NP) 2) Managerial Ownership (KM) has a significant effect on Company Value (NP) 3) Debt Policy (KH) has a significant effect on Company Value (NP) 4) Company Growth (PP) has a significant effect on Company Value (NP) 5) Institutional Ownership (KI) has a significant effect on Company Value (NP) through Financial Performance (KK) 6) Managerial Ownership (KM) has a significant effect on Company Value (NP) through Financial Performance (KK) 7) Debt Policy (KH) has no effect on Company Value (NP) through Financial Performance (KK) 8) Company Growth (PP) has a significant effect on Company Value (NP) through Financial Performance (KK)

Activate Windows
Go to Settings to activate Windows

Top Reviewers



Efanga, Udem Okon
Finance, Accounting and Economics, niversity of Calabar, Nigeria

Important Links

- [Paper Submission](#)
- [Open Access Statement](#)
- [Information for Authors](#)
- [Peer-Review Resources](#)
- [Open Special Issues](#)
- [Frequently Asked Questions](#)

Why Us

- ✓ Open Access
- ✓ Peer-reviewed
- ✓ Rapid publication
- ✓ Lifetime hosting
- ✓ Free indexing service
- ✓ Free promotion service
- ✓ More citations
- ✓ Search engine friendly

Keywords: Institutional Ownership, Managerial Ownership, Debt Policy, Company Growth, Financial Performance, Company Value.

INTRODUCTION

In the practice of modern financial management, the leader or manager of a company is required to be principal in achieving company goals. The company's goal has a relationship between a manager in managing his company in order to achieve an increase in company performance, in this case is financial performance. Financial performance is related to company value. Company value is considered important because in this case the higher the value of the company it will affect the prosperity of shareholders (Brigham dan Houston, 2014). According to Sartono (2014), he stated that the value of the company can be determined based on the value of its own capital and the value of debt. This can show a positive signal for the company, if the company is managed properly, it is able to continue to grow and compete. In this stage, the owner delegates authority to the manager or agent to take action in pursuing the company's goals. The value of the company during the observation period decreased so that the company became unable to increase the value of the company which was the purpose of establishing a company.

In the research done by Sofyaningsih and Hardiningsih (2011), stated that a company that has high institutional ownership can indicate its ability to control the management of a company, so that in its operations, the company runs efficiently and can make the best use of company assets. Institutional ownership will encourage maximum supervision. The same results also obtained in research done by Nuraina (2012), Borolla (2011), stated that institutional ownership has an influence toward company value. But, different from Putri and Chabachib (2013), Suryani (2016), Warapsari and Suaryana (2016), stated that institutional ownership has no influence to company. Whereas Ruan and Tian (2011), stated that managerial ownership has a positive influence toward company value which means that the higher the managerial ownership of the company, the higher the company value. Different findings was done in Suastini *et al.*, (2016), Sukirni (2012), and Jusriani and Rahardjo (2013)'s research, they stated that managerial ownership has no influence on company value.

Research about debt policy conducted by Sukirni (2012), Yuniati *et al.*, (2016), Hamidy *et al.*, (2015), Bukit (2012) explained that debt policy has an influence on company value. Different findings was done by Putri and Chabachib (2013), Mangantar and Sumanti (2015), Mardiyati (2012), Sofyaningsih and Hardiningsih (2011), stated that there is no effect of debt policy on company value.

In research conducted by Dewi *et al.*, (2014), Dhani and Utama (2017), Suastini *et al.*, (2016), Sofyaningsih and Hardiningsih (2011) stated that company growth has an influence on company value. Different findings put forward by Pakpahan (2010), Andrian (2012), Yuanita *et al.*, (2016), they stated that the company growth has no influence on company value.

Research conducted by Rasyid *et al.*, (2015), Mahpudin and Suparno (2016) and Ardianto *et al.*, (2017), stated that profitability influenced the company value. But different findings was stated by Herawati (2014), Rusiah *et al.*, (2017), Thaib Dewantoro (2017), They said that profitability did not influence the company value. Based on problems faced by company about the decreasing of manufacturing company value which was recorded at BEI since 2013-2019, and the controversion in previous studies, this research aimed to test the effect of ownership structure, debt policy, company growth, financial performance and company value in manufacturing company.

LITERATURE REVIEW AND HYPOTHESIS

The Influence of Institutional Ownership on Company Value

Institutional ownership has a positive effect on company value. The greater the institutional ownership, the more efficient the utilization of company assets which is expected to act as a prevention against waste and profit manipulation by management so that it will increase the value of the company (Sukirni, 2012).

Nuraina (2012), and Borolla (2011) stated that the institutional ownership has a significant positive influence toward the company value. But, Sofyaningsih and Hardiningsih (2011) and Damayanti and Suartana (2014) stated that companies that have high institutional ownership indicate their ability to control the management.

H₁: There is a significant influence of institutional ownership on the manufacturing company's value listed on BEI.

Influence of Managerial Ownership on Company Value

Managerial ownership has a positive and significant influence on company value. This showed that the increasing of managerial ownership will increase the company value (Nurwahidah *et al.*, 2019).

Managerial ownership has a positive and significant influence on company value. This showed that the increasing of managerial ownership will increase the company value (Dewi dan Abundanti 2019).



framework, the path diagram can be described as follows (path diagram):

Figure Image is Available in PDF Format

Figure 1. Path Diagram

Based on the path diagram above, it can be the structural equation formulated for this study namely:



Where:

NP = Company Value

KK = Financial Performance

KI = Institutional Ownership

KM = Managerial Ownership

KH = Debt Policy

PP = Company Growth

$\beta_{yx1}, \dots, \beta_{yx4}, \beta_{zx1}, \dots, \beta_{zx4}$ dan β_{zy} = Coefficient Standardize

ϵ = Standart Error

Steps To Do The Path Analysis In This Study Are:

1. **Classic Assumption Test**
 - a. Multicollinearity Test
 - b. Autocorrelation
 - c. Heteroscedasticity
 - d. Normality Test
2. **Model Feasibility Test (Goodness of Fit)**
 - a. Determinat Coefficient (R^2)
 - b. F Statistic Test
3. **Hypothesis Test**

Intervening Variable Analysis Procedure

This study used sobel test as the analysis procedure with *Sobel Test Calculator* as an online helping tools which is aimed to see the indirect effect based on the z value provision which is $z\text{-value} > 1,96$ atau $\text{-value} < \alpha = 0,05$. Ghozali (2018:255) stated that, if you want the results in a large sample size and normally distributed coefficient values, the sobel test can be done with several steps as follows :

1. See the correlation between independent variable and intervening variabel (coefficient A)
2. See the correlation between intervening variabel and independent variabel (coefficient B)
3. See the general error value of A.
4. See the general error value of B.

RESULT AND DISCUSSION

Result

Influence of Institutional Ownership on Company Value

From the influence of institutional ownership on company value, it is obtained that the significance value of t is $0.010 < 0.05$, it can be concluded that institutional ownership has a significant influence on company value in manufacturing companies listed on the BEI, so hypothesis 1 is accepted.

Influence of Managerial Ownership on Company Value

Activate Windows
Go to Settings to activate Windows.

DISCUSSION

Influence of Institutional Ownership on Company Value

The results of the analysis of the hypothesis test proved that there was a direct influence of institutional ownership (KI) on company value (NP). These results indicate that institutional ownership has a significant influence on company value. The negative correlation contained in the influence of institutional ownership variable on company value, means that large institutional ownership can reduce the company's stock price resulting in a decrease in company value and vice versa.

This result supports results by Nuraina (2012), Sukirni (2012), Borolla (2011), and Damayanti and Suartana, (2014). However, this is in contrast to Putri dan Chabachib (2013), (Suryani (2016), Warapsari and Suaryana (2016) which claimed that the institutional ownership has no influence on company value.

Influence of Managerial Ownership on Company Value

The results of the analysis of the hypothesis test proved that there was a direct influence of managerial ownership (KM) on company value (NP). It showed that the managerial ownership has a significant influence on company value. The negative correlation contained in the influence of managerial ownership variable on company value means that, large managerial ownership can reduce the company's stock price resulting in a decrease in company value.

This result supports results by Sofyaningsih and Hardiningsih (2011), Sukirni (2012), Ruan and Tian (2011), Din and Javid (2011). However, this is in contrast to Suastini *et al.*, (2016), Jusriani and Rahardjo (2013), Wardani and Hermuningsih (2011) which claimed that the managerial ownership has no influence on company value.

Influence of Debt Policy on Company Value

The results of the analysis of the hypothesis test proved that there was a direct influence of debt policy (KH) on company value (NP). It showed that the debt policy has a significant influence on company value. The positive correlation contained in the influence of debt policy variable on company value means that, large debt policy can increase the company's stock price resulting in an increase in company value

This result supports results by Yuniati *et al.*, (2016), Hamidy *et al.*, (2015) and Bukit (2012). However, this is in contrast to Putri dan Chabachib (2013), Mangantar dan Sumanti (2015), Mardiyati (2012) which claimed that the debt policy has no influence on company value.

Influence of Company Growth on Company Value

The results of the analysis of the hypothesis test proved that there was a direct influence of company growth (PP) on company value (NP). It showed that the company growth has a significant influence on company value. The negative correlation contained in the influence of company growth variable on company value means that, large debt policy can decrease the company's stock price resulting in a decrease in company value.

This result supports results by Nasihad dan Widyarti (2012), Dewi *et al.*, (2014), Hestinoviana *et al.*, (2013). However, this is in contrast to Andrian (2012), Pakpahan (2010), Yuanita *et al.*, (2016) which claimed that company growth has no influence on company value.

Influence of Institutional Ownership on Company Value through Financial Performance

The results of the analysis of the hypothesis test proved that there was an indirect influence of institutional ownership (KI) on company value (NP) through financial performance (KK). This is because the institutional is able to maximize in controlling the company more thoroughly, thus enabling the management to make changes in improving the company's performance, in the end, the ownership of institutional shares can increase the value of the company because the performance of a company manager is able to manage the company well.

This result supports results by Alipour and Amjadi (2011), Latham and Locke (1991) and Nurkhin *et al.*, (2017). However, this is in contrast to Listiyowati and Indarti (2018), Azis (2016), Julianti (2015) which claimed that of institutional ownership has no influence on company value through financial performance.

Activate Windows

Go to Settings to activate Windows.

This result supports results by Kusumajaya (2011), Mahendra *et al.*, (2012), and Utomo (2016). However, this is in contrast to Dessyana (2016), Nasihadhan Widyarti (2012), Suastini *et al.*, (2016) they did not find the influence of company growth on company value through financial performance.

CONCLUSION

Partial institutional ownership has a significant influence on company value in manufacturing companies listed on BEI in 2013-2019. The results of this study indicate that high institutional ownership will increase the value of the company, because it will provide more supervision. So, it is able to minimize the occurrence of agency conflicts which is a positive signal to investors, and this will have a good impact on the progress of the company in the future.

Managerial ownership partially has a significant influence on company value in manufacturing companies listed on BEI in 2013-2019. The results of this study indicate that higher managerial ownership will increase company value, because an effective and efficient mechanism is able to overcome agency conflicts that occur due to interest between manager and owner. A structured increase in stock market value will provide capital gains for managers, so that managers get two sources of income at once, namely salaries/bonuses and capital gains.

Debt policy partially has a significant influence on company value in manufacturing companies listed on the BEI in 2013-2019. The results of this study indicate that the use of higher debt will increase the value of the company, because the use of debt is considered by investors that the company has a good business prospects in the future.

The company's growth partially has a positive influence on the value of the company in manufacturing companies listed on the BEI in 2013-2019. This means that information that caused an increase in company growth caused by asset growth can provide a positive signal for investors because it provides high profits. It is good for investors who will invest, and also beneficial for the value of the company.

Institutional ownership has a significant influence on company value through the financial performance of manufacturing companies listed on BEI in 2013-2019. The results of this study indicate that higher institutional ownership will increase the company's performance in achieving maximum profitability to increase the value of the company's shares.

Managerial ownership has a significant influence on company value through the financial performance of manufacturing companies listed on BEI in 2013-2019. The results of this study indicate that high managerial ownership will improve the performance of managers in carrying out their duties so that the target of achieving profit can run well, resulting in an increase in company shares.

Debt policy has no influence on company value through the financial performance of manufacturing companies listed on BEI in 2013-2019. The results of this study indicate that the use of higher debt is not necessarily able to increase the company's profitability or company profits in the future.

The growth of the company has a significant influence on the value of the company through the financial performance of manufacturing companies listed on the BEI in 2013-2019. The results of this study indicate that growth has a direct influence on profitability which in turn affects firm value. When assets are increased, productivity and sales results are also increased, so it has an impact on increasing company profits, which in turn will have an impact on increasing company value. This means that profitability can support company growth in increasing company value.

REFERENCES

1. Andrian, J. (2012). Pengaruh Struktur Modal, Pertumbuhan Perusahaan, Capital Expenditure Dan Insentif Manajer. *Jurnal Bisnis Strategi*, 21(2), 18-49.
2. Ardianto, M. J., Chabachib, M., & Mawardi, W. (2017). Pengaruh Kepemilikan Institusional, DER, ROA, Dan Current Ratio Terhadap Nilai Perusahaan Dengan Kebijakan Dividen Sebagai Variabel Intervening (Studi Pada Perusahaan Manufaktur di BEI Periode Tahun 2011-2015). *Journal Universitas Diponegoro*, 8(2), 1-10.
3. Borolla, J. D. (2011). Analisis Pengaruh Struktur Kepemilikan terhadap Nilai Perusahaan. *Jurnal Prestasi*, 7(1), 11-24.
4. Brigham, E. F., & Houston, J. F. (2014). Dasar-Dasar Manajemen Keuangan Edisi 11 Buku 1. In *Salemba Empat Jakarta*.
5. Bukit, R. B. (Universitas S. U. (2012). Pengaruh Struktur Modal Terhadap Nilai Perusahaan Melalui Profitabilitas: Analisis Data Panel Perusahaan Manufaktur di Bursa Efek Indonesia. *Jurnal Keuangan Dan Bisnis*, 4(3), 205-218.
6. Damayanti, N. P. W. P., & Suartana, I. W. (2014). Pengaruh Kepemilikan Manajerial Dan Kepemilikan Institusional Pada Nilai Perusahaan. *E-Jurnal Akuntansi*, 21(3), 1903-1932.
7. Dewi, L. S., & Abundanti, N. (2019). Pengaruh Profitabilitas, Likuiditas, Kepemilikan Institusional Dan Kepemilikan Manajerial Terhadap Nilai Perusahaan. *E-Jurnal Manajemen*

Activate Windows



Go to activate Windows...

32. Puspaningrum, Y. (2017). Pengaruh Corporate Social Responsibility Dan Kepemilikan Manajerial Terhadap Nilai Perusahaan Dengan Profitabilitas Dan Ukuran Perusahaan Sebagai Variabel Moderating. *Jurnal Profita*, 2(1), 1-14.
33. Putri, G. A. P., & Chabachib, M. (2013). Analisis Pengaruh Kepemilikan Institusional, Free Cash Flow, Investment Opportunity Set Terhadap Nilai Perusahaan Dengan Kebijakan Hutang Sebagai Variabel Intervening. *Dipenogoro Journal Of Management*, 2(2), 202-216. <https://ejournal3.undip.ac.id/index.php/djom/article/view/9027/8773>
34. Rasyid, A., Mahfudnurnajamuddin, Mas'ud, M., & Su'un, M. (2015). Effect of Ownership Structure, Company Size and Profitability on Dividend Policy and Manufacturing Company's Value in Indonesia Stock Exchange. *Australian Journal of Basic and Applied Sciences*, 9(20), 618-624.
35. Rizqia, D. A., Aisjah, S., Program, P., & Java, E. (2013). Effect of Managerial Ownership, Financial Leverage, Profitability, Firm Size, and Investment Opportunity on Dividend Policy and Firm Value. *Journal of Finance and Accounting*, 4(11), 120-130.
36. Ruan, W., & Tian, G. (2011). Managerial Ownership and Firm Value: Evidence from China's Civilian-run Firms. *University of Wollongong, NSW*, 2522, 5(3), 73-92.
37. Rusiah, N., Mardani, R. M., & Abs, M. K. (2017). Pengaruh Struktur Modal, Pertumbuhan Perusahaan, Ukuran Perusahaan, dan Profitabilitas Terhadap Nilai Perusahaan Pada Perusahaan yang terdaftar di Bursa Efek Indonesia. *E-Jurnal Riset Manajemen Prodi Manajemen Unisma*, 6(6), 108-203.
38. Sartono, A. (2014). Manajemen Keuangan Teori dan Aplikasi. Edisi 4. Yogyakarta: BPFE. In *Revolusi Industri 4.0: Desain Perkembangan Transaksi dan Sistem Akuntansi Keuangan*.
39. Sofyaningsih, S., & Hardiningsih, P. (2011). Struktur Kepemilikan, Kebijakan Dividen, Kebijakan Hutang dan Nilai Perusahaan. *Dinamika Keuangan Dan Perbankan*, 3(1), 68-87.
40. Suastini, N. M., Purbawangsa, I. B. A., & Rahyuda, H. (2016). Pengaruh Kepemilikan Manajerial dan Pertumbuhan Perusahaan terhadap Nilai Perusahaan Pada Perusahaan Manufaktur Di Bursa Efek Indonesia. *E-Jurnal Ekonomi Dan Bisnis Universitas Udayana*, 5(1), 143-172.
41. Sudyatno, B., & Puspitasari, E. (2010). Tobin's Q dan Altman Z-Score sebagai Indikator Pengukuran Kinerja Perusahaan. *Kajian Akuntansi*, ISSN: 1979-4886, 8(2), 9-21.
42. Sugiyono. (2017). Metode Penelitian Kuantitatif, Kualitatif dan R&D. Bandung: PT Alfabet. In *Sugiyono. (2017). Metode Penelitian Kuantitatif, Kualitatif dan R&D. Bandung: PT Alfabet*.
43. Sukirni, D. (2012). Kepemilikan Manajerial, Kepemilikan Institusional, Kebijakan Dividen Dan Kebijakan Hutang. *Accounting Analysis Journal*, 1(2), 1-12. <https://journal.unnes.ac.id/sju/index.php/aa/article/view/703>
44. Suryani, K. R. (2016). Pengaruh Struktur Kepemilikan Terhadap Nilai Perusahaan Dengan Keputusan Keuangan Sebagai Variabel Intervening Pada Perusahaan Dalam Industri Manufaktur Yang Terdaftar Di Bursa Efek Indonesia Tahun 2009. *Jurnal Wawasan Manajemen*, 4(1), 75-90.
45. Sutrisno, W. A., & Yulianeu. (2017). Pengaruh CR, DER DAN TATO Terhadap PBV Dengan ROA Sebagai Variabel Intervening (Studi Pada Perusahaan Property & Real Estate Yang Terdaftar Pada BEI Tahun 2010-2014). *Journal of Management*, 3(3), 1-8.
46. Tarjo. (2008). Pengaruh Konsentrasi Kepemilikan Institusional dan Leverage terhadap Manajemen Laba, Nilai Pemegang Saham serta Cost of Equity Capital. *Simpodium Nasional Akuntansi XI Pontianak*, 1-45.
47. Thaib, I., & Dewantoro, A. (2017). Pengaruh Profitabilitas dan Likuiditas terhadap Nilai Perusahaan dengan Struktur Modal sebagai Variabel Intervening (Studi pada Perusahaan Transportasi Laut Di Bursa Efek Indonesia). *Jurnal Riset Perbankan Manajemen Dan Akuntansi*, 1(1), 25-44.
48. Utomo, H. P. (2016). Pengaruh Partisipasi Anggaran Terhadap Kinerja Manajerial Dengan Gaya Kepemimpinan dan Locus Of Control Sebagai Variabel Moderasi. *E-Jurnal Akuntansi*, 2(2), 1-20.
49. Wahyudi, U., & Pawestri, H. P. (2006). Implikasi Struktur Kepemilikan Terhadap Nilai Perusahaan: Dengan Keputusan Keuangan Sebagai Variabel Intervening. *Simpodium Nasional Akuntansi 9 Padang*. <https://doi.org/10.1017/CBO9781107415324.004>
50. Warapsari, A. A. A. U., & Suaryana, I. G. N. A. (2016). Pengaruh Kepemilikan Manajerial Dan Institusional Terhadap Nilai Perusahaan Dengan Kebijakan Utang Sebagai Variabel Intervening. *E-Jurnal Akuntansi Universitas Udayana*, 16(3), 2288-2315.
51. Wardani, D. K., & Hermuningsih, S. (2011). Pengaruh Struktur Kepemilikan terhadap Nilai Perusahaan dengan Kinerja Keuangan dan Kebijakan Hutang sebagai Variabel Intervening. *Jurnal Stasat Bisnis*, 15(1), 27-36. <https://doi.org/10.20885/jsb.vol15.iss1.art3>
52. Wulandari, N., Mardani, R. M., & Wahono, B. (2017). Pengaruh Struktur Kepemilikan dan Keputusan Keuangan Terhadap Nilai Perusahaan: Profitabilitas Sebagai Variabel Moderating. *E-Jurnal Riset Manajemen*, 37-51.
53. Yuanita, Missy, Budiyanto, & Riyadi, S. (2016). Influence of Capital Structure, Size and Growth on Profitability and Corporate Value. *International Journal of Business and Finance Management Research*.
54. Yuniati, M., Raharjo, K., & Oemar, A. (2016). Pengaruh Kebijakan Deviden, Kebijakan Hutang, Profitabilitas, dan Struktur Kepemilikan terhadap Nilai Perusahaan. *Journal of Accounting*, 2(2), 1-15.

- Home
- Journals
- For Authors, Editors & Reviewers
- Membership
- Online Payments
- FAQs
- Contact Us
- Submit Manuscript



About Inlight Publisher

Inlight Publisher is an international scholar's community for open access scientific journals in both print and online publishing from Kuwait. The aim of the organization is to providing the best quality research journals publication and quick response to our researcher and providing the best platform for the Research Explore & ensures the recognition of scientists and researchers as they deserve the opportunity to play a significant role in the global scientific community. We expect to publish lots of research & creative paper so that mankind can get a better knowledge about scientific Publication. Inlight Publisher is dedicated to continuously improving service provision and to maintaining high quality standards.

[Read More →](#)

Activate Windows
Go to Settings to activate Windows

TRACK YOUR MANUSCRIPT

RECENT ARTICLES

TRACK YOUR MANUSCRIPT

Enter correct Manuscript Reference Number (MRN)

Submit MRN

JOURNALS



Kuwait Research Journal of Humanities and Social Science
ISSN: XXXX-XXXX

View more



Kuwait Open Journal of Linguistics and Literature
ISSN: XXXX-XXXX

View more



Kuwait Open Journal of Nursing
ISSN: XXXX-XXXX

View more

RECENT ARTICLES

The Role of Literature in Curbing the Spread of COVID-19
Uche Nnyagu PhD and Udogu, Christiana Obiageli
DOI : ZENEDO | Pages : 36-41 | Feb. 18, 2022
121 downloads 278 views

Covid-19 Pandemic: Assessment of Its Impact and Insurability of Pandemic Risks in the Nigerian Insurance Business
Abere, Omotayo Johnally & Ojikutu, Abdul Rasheed Kola
DOI : zenedo | Pages : 27-35 | Feb. 18, 2022
134 downloads 291 views

The Role of Personal Mastery In Budget Politics To The Realize Social Welfare
Jamaluddin Majid
DOI : zenedo | Pages : 20-26 | Feb. 18, 2022
132 downloads 274 views

The Best and Worst Models of Faithful and Atheist Women In The Light of The Holy Qur'an
Asmaa Younis
DOI : zenedo | Pages : 10-19 | Feb. 18, 2022
131 downloads 310 views

Human Trafficking and Modern Day Slavery Crisis in Bangladesh: Major Challenges and A Way Forward
Dr. Md. Abdul Alim
DOI : zenedo | Pages : 1-9 | Feb. 18, 2022
145 downloads 377 views

Facilitating Factors and Barriers of Technological Innovations in Education Field of Pakistan
Amna Jabeen
DOI : ZENEDO | Pages : 20-26 | Feb. 18, 2022
141 downloads 322 views

QUICK LINKS

- Editorial Policies
- Join Editorial Board
- Indexing Information
- Increase Article Visibility
- Submit Manuscript Online
- Open Access Statement
- Publication Ethics & Rectitude
- Manuscript Transfer Facility
- Review Guidelines
- Peer Review Workflow
- Become a Reviewer
- Free Copies Online
- Latest Journal Catalog
- Most Cited Articles
- Advertise With Us
- Our Team Associates

Activate Windows
Go to Settings to activate Windows



Kuwait Open Journal of Linguistics and Literature

ISSN: XXXX-XXXX

[View more](#)



Kuwait Open Journal of Nursing

ISSN: XXXX-XXXX

[View more](#)



Kuwait Journal of Medical Case Reports

ISSN: XXXX-XXXX

[View more](#)

[View all journals →](#)

152 downloads 274 views

The Best and Worst Models of Faithful and Atheist Women In The Light of The Holy Qur'an

Asmaa Younis

DOI : zenedo | Pages : 10-19 | Feb. 18, 2022

131 downloads 310 views

Human Trafficking and Modern Day Slavery Crisis in Bangladesh: Major Challenges and A Way Forward

Dr. Md. Abdul Alim

DOI : zenedo | Pages : 1-9 | Feb. 18, 2022

145 downloads 377 views

Facilitating Factors and Barriers of Technological Innovations in Education Field of Pakistan

Amna Jabeen

DOI : ZENEDO | Pages : 20-26 | Feb. 18, 2022

141 downloads 322 views

Analyse De L'évolution Des Réseaux Thématiques Du Théâtre Noir Africain A Travers Les Champs Lexicaux Utilisés Dans Les Pièces De 1960 A 2000

Mme Dahou Malika

DOI : zenedo | Pages : 18-19 | Feb. 18, 2022

165 downloads 286 views

Peer Review Workflow

- [Become a Reviewer](#)
- [Free Copies Online](#)
- [Latest Journal Catalog](#)
- [Most Cited Articles](#)
- [Advertise With Us](#)
- [Our Team Associates](#)

Copyright © 2022 IARCON, All rights reserved.

No part of this content may be reproduced or transmitted in any form or by any means as per the standard guidelines of fair use.

Creative Commons License Open Access by IARCON is licensed under Creative Commons License a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License.

Activate Windows
Go to Settings to activate Windows



Publication Policies & Ethics


- > Author Role
- > Editor Role
- > Reviewer Role
- > Publisher Role

Useful Links

- > [Journal Home](#)
- > [Aim & Scope](#)
- > [Article Archives](#)
- > [Article Processing Charges](#)
- > [Editorial Board](#)
- > [Indexing](#)
- > [Peer Review Process](#)
- > [Submit Manuscript](#)

Why Us

- ✔ Open Access
- ✔ Peer-reviewed
- ✔ Rapid publication
- ✔ Lifetime hosting



Himalayan Journal of Business Management

ISSN Print : 2709-3549 | ISSN Online : 2709-3557
 Frequency : Bi-Monthly
 Language : English
 Origin : Kuwait

[Online Submission](#)

Apply Membership
 Membership Info

Impact Factor	No data
First Publication	N/A
Publication Count	154
Citation Count	N/A
Downloads/Articles	17228
Citations/Articles	N/A

INDEXING

Himalayan Journal of Economics and Business Management has been indexed by the following database. For more information, please access the link below:

<https://www.himjournals.com/apply-membership>

- > Article Processing Charges
- > Editorial Board
- > Indexing
- > Peer Review Process
- > Submit Manuscript

- Why Us**
- ✓ Open Access
 - ✓ Peer-reviewed
 - ✓ Rapid publication
 - ✓ Lifetime hosting
 - ✓ Free indexing service
 - ✓ Free promotion service
 - ✓ More citations
 - ✓ Search engine friendly

Himalayan Journal of Economics and Business Management has been indexed by the following database. For more information, please access the link below:

The image displays a collection of logos for various academic databases and services. The logos are arranged in a grid-like fashion. The logos include: Google scholar, PlagScan (PLAGIARISM DETECTOR FOR EDUCATION AND RESEARCH), Academia.edu, CiteFactor (Academic Scientific Journals), doi (Digital Object Identifier), Crossref, DRJI (Directory of Research Journal Indexing), INDEX COPERNICUS INTERNATIONAL, IPIndexing Indexing Portal, ISSN (INTERNATIONAL STANDARD SERIAL NUMBER INTERNATIONAL CENTRE), WorldCat, ESJI (Eurasian Scientific Journal Index www.ESJIndex.org), LinkedIn, Journal Seeker Research Bible, and ICMJE (INTERNATIONAL COMMITTEE of MEDICAL JOURNAL EDITORS).